

NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION DIVISION OF WATER COMBINED SEWER OVERFLOWS ANNUAL REPORT

PART I. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS: The Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO) Annual Report is consistent with the EPA CSO Long-Term Control Policy requiring permitting authorities to report "Measures of Success" of the policy implementation. Hence, the goal of this report is to obtain information regarding:

- 1. Compliance with the 15 CSO Best Management Practices;
- 2. The condition and operation of the combine sewer system (CSS) components. Most importantly, the end-of-pipe measures that show trends in the discharge of CSS flows to the receiving water body, such as reduction of pollutant loadings, the frequency of CSOs, and the duration of CSOs;
- 3. Receiving water body measures that show trends of the conditions in the water body to which the CSO occurs;
- 4. Overall status of the CSO LTCP, if applicable;
- 5. Key CSO control accomplishments and design and construction progress in the previous year

Permittee must complete ALL parts of the form and must attach all supporting documents. Please be aware that this annual report form template highlights the minimum requirement a permittee is expected to submit. Permittee is obligated to complete abatement activities to ensure compliance with the Clean Water Act. This report is also consistent with NYS *6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i)*.

Special Instructions:

- 1. Multiple permittees (for instance NYC and Albany Pool) responsible to develop a single LTCP can submit one form and also complete Section D of this form.
- 2. ALL SECTIONS OF THIS REPORT MUST BE COMPLETED.

Flow:

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

CSO Facility: City of Ogdensburg

6.50**мбр**

SECTION A: CSO LTCP GENERAL INFORMATION

LTCP Development/Implementation:

Check all that apply:		Describe other controls currently being used or planned. Also describe how the objectives of the CSO
In Development	\checkmark	The Paterson Street sewer separation project and various CSO modifications were completed
Submitted	\checkmark	engineering phase is an 800,000 gallon CSO equilization tank in accordance with the July 2012
Approved	\checkmark	LTCP. The equilization tank will be constructed as part of the first phase of a multi-phase
In Progress	\checkmark	construction beginning in the summer of 2019.
Completed		
Not Required		

CSO Controls:

Check all that apply:		Describe other controls currently being used or planned. Also describe how the objectives of the CSO Control Policy have been met under the selected controls
Source Controls	\checkmark	16 of 17 CSO's have been modified to maximize storage, minimize overflows and capture more
Collection System Controls	\checkmark	an 800,000 gallon CSO equilization tank in accordance with the July 2012 LTCP. The
Storage Technologies	\checkmark	equilization tank will be constructed as part of the first phase of a multi-phase capital improvement project. The Phase 1 project is scheduled to bid in the spring of 2019 with construction beginning in the summer of 2019
Treatment Technologies		
Floatable		
Disinfection		
Туре:		

Post-Construction Compliance Monitoring (PCCM) Program:

Check all that apply:	Describe PCCM findings, status, updates, and future plan. Attach a separate sheet if necessary <u>and</u> describe if the PCCM confirms that LTCP is meeting the t objectives of the CSO Control Policy
In Development	A Post-Construction Compliance Monitoring Plan (PCCMP) will be developed and implemented
Submitted	
Approved	
In Progress	
Completed	
Not Required	

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION B: OUTFALL INFORMATION

List all existing and active CSO the outfalls. Attach extra sheets, if necessary.

Outfall #	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water/Classification	# of Regulators Associated with this Outfall	Type of Regulator(s) Associated with this Outfall (Fixed Dam, Float / Dynamic, Elevated Pipe, Wet Well Overflow, etc.)
002	44, 42'12"	75,29' 33"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	leaping weir overflow
003	44, 42' 07"	75, 29' 36"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	side overflow weir
04A	44, 42' 01"	75,29' 41"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	leaping weir
005	44, 42' 39"	75,29' 31"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	leaping weir
006	44, 42' 12"	75,29' 27"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	side overflow
007	44, 42' 26"	75,29' 46"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	leaping weir
008	44, 42' 26"	75,29' 46"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	leaping weir
10A	44, 41' 42"	75,29' 54"	Oswegatchie/B	1	leaping weir
011	44, 41' 16"	75,29' 38"	Oswegatchie/B	1	side overflow weir
012	44, 41' 44"	75,29' 52"	Oswegatchie/B	1	Interceptor sewer - raised pipe
013	44, 41' 44"	75,29' 53"	Oswegatchie/B	1	side overflow weir
015	44, 41' 25"	75,29' 34"	Oswegatchie/B	1	Interceptor sewer - raised pipe
016	44, 42' 46"	75,29' 45"	Oswegatchie/B	1	side overflow weir
017	44, 42' 44"	75,29' 45"	Oswegatchie/B	1	side overflow weir
019	44, 42' 52"	75,29' 07"	Oswegatchie/B	1	pump station overflow
04B	44, 42' 01"	75, 29' 43"	St. Lawrence River/A	1	side overflow weir
001	@WWTP		St. Lawrence River/A	3	Side overflow weir (2); raised pipe (1

NYS DEPARMENT OF ENVIRONENTAL CONSERVATION

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

List all CSO the outfalls that have been closed or separated since LTCP development. Attach extra sheets, if necessary.

Outfall #	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water/Classification	Indicate Reason for Closure
10B	44, 41' 45"	75, 29' 59"	St. Lawrence River/A	To capture more wastewater and send to PS

PERMITTEE NAME: City of Ogdensburg

SPDES PERMIT No.: NY-0029831 P A G E | 1

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION C: CSO EVENTS, DISCHARGE VOLUME, ETC. Provide an estimate or actual data on overflow events. If necessary, use a separate spreadsheet to report all CSO outfalls.

CSO Outfall	No. of overfl the previ	ow events in ous year	Total Annual (Discharge	CSO Volume d (MG)	Total Annual Volu Diverted to P	me Captured or OTW (MG)	# of (Outf	cSO alls	Indicate type of overflow measurements (e.g. metered, estimated, or modeled).
#	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	Baseline	Current	If other, please describe.
001	1	,	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	NOTES:
002	7	6	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	
003	2	0	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	Ţ	
004A	-	0	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	
004B	2	4	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	
005	1	5	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	
900	5	5	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	
200	11	0	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	~	
008	1	0	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	L	
010A	2	8	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	Ţ	
011	3	5	Not Measured		Not Measured		~	Ţ	
012	1	4	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	-	
013	2	4	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	-	
015	2	9	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	-	
016	1	4	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	1	
017	2	5	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	1	
019	0	0	Not Measured		Not Measured		-	1	

PERMITTEE NAME: City of Ogdensburg

 SPDES PERMIT No.:
 NY-0029831
 P A G E
 2

 Dart II - CSO I TCP Control Information

	Indicate type of overflow measurements (e.g. metered, estimated, or modeled).	If other, please describe.									
	cso falls	Current									
	# of Out	Baseline									
	Me Captured or OTW (MG)	Current									
· COU LI LE COUL	Total Annual Volu Diverted to F	Baseline									
Part II	cSO Volume ed (MG)	Current									
	Total Annual Discharge	Baseline									
	ow events in ous year	Current									
	No. of overflu the previ	Baseline									
	CSO Outfall	#									TOTAL

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION D: Collection System Information

	Baseline	After CSO BMP and/or LTCP Implementation	Current
Percentage of the collection system owned by the permittee that is combined.	100%	100%	100%
Approximate no. of miles of combined sewers in the permittee owned system	90	87	87
Number of combined sewer outfalls in the permittee owned system	18	17	17
Average annual no. of CSO events in the permittee owned system	42	<42	50
Average annual CSO volume discharged from the permittee owned system (MG)	Not Measured	Not Measured	Not Measured
Population served by the permittee's owned system	10,937	10,937	10,937
Number of satellite system connections	0	0	0

Use the space below to provide any further relevant information on the collection system. This should include a description of any unique ownership, operation and maintenance agreements or further explanation and description of satellite system connections. (Attach extra sheets, if necessary):

The City of Ogdensburg own's and maintains the entire collection system and the POTW plant.

Part II - CSO LTCP Control Information

SECTION F: Use this section to describe how the implementation of the LTCP development and implementation have met the water quality standards of the receiving stream(s) and also objectives of the EPA CSO Control Policy (attach extra sheets as necessary):

Please refer to development/control and CSO control sections at beginning of report above. Also, LTCP dated July 2012 can also be used for reference.

SECTION G: Use the following space to summarize other planned CSO control projects (attach extra sheets as necessary):

Part of the LTCP includes an 800,000 gallon retention storage facility. This project is currently being planned for completion by July 2021 in accordance with approved July 2012 LTCP and the City's current SPDES permit.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a
system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person
or persons who manage the system or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best
of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information,
including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

Name: Robert Henninger	Official DANC/WQ Supervise	or	Phone:315/244-5266
Signature:	Date Signed:	Email:rhenni	nger@danc.org

Check N/A if not required in the permit, consent order, or LTCP:

1. CSO Maintenance/Inspection 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(2) (EPA NMC: Proper Operation and Maintenance)	YES	NO	N/A
Is there a written program for the operation, inspection and maintenance of the CSS?	\checkmark		
Does the program include procedures for ALL outfalls in the permit?	\checkmark		
Does the program include procedures for ALL regulators in the permit?	\checkmark		
Are inspections conducted at least as frequently as required in the permit (weekly or monthly)?	\checkmark		
Are inspections conducted during dry and wet weather?	\checkmark		
Do the inspection reports indicate visual inspection, any observed flows, incidence of rain or snowmelt, condition of equipment, and any work required?	\checkmark		
Are inspection reports submitted to the DEC regional office with the monthly operating reports?	\checkmark		
Is the written program sufficiently detailed? Indicate which of the following additional components are included in the plan.	\checkmark		
Pump Stations	\checkmark		
Sewer cleaning	\checkmark		
Sewer Manholes and Catch Basins	\checkmark		
Outfalls	\checkmark		
CSO Controls	\checkmark		
Are there inter-municipal agreements which require inspection and maintenance?		\checkmark	
Are any changes planned in the upcoming year for the agreements to make them more effective?	\checkmark		
Is the collection system mapped using GIS?	\checkmark		
Entire system, including manholes and catch basins?	\checkmark		
In the past year, was significant mapping progress accomplished?		\checkmark	
In the upcoming year, is GIS mapping planned?		\checkmark	
Is the collection system monitored using a SCADA system?		\checkmark	
In the past year, was significant progress accomplished in installing or expanding monitoring with a SCADA system?			\checkmark
In the upcoming year, is installation of a SCADA system planned or being expanded?	\checkmark		
Does the municipality have an asset management plan that includes the collection system?	\checkmark		
Are funds available to carry out the BMP requirements?	\checkmark		
Are any major equipment purchases planned or expected in the next five years related to the BMP requirements? If yes, describe below	\checkmark		
Is the pump inventory, including spare parts, adequate for the upcoming year?	\checkmark		
Is sufficient staff training available?	\checkmark		

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Is funding for training adequate and available?	\checkmark		
	YES	NO	N/A
Is sufficient staff training available?	\checkmark		
Is funding for training adequate and available?	\checkmark		
Have any work efforts or problems in the past year resulted in changes in overflows? If yes, describe below		\checkmark	
Fewer events]
Less volume			
Reduction in floatables, settleable solids or oil and grease discharged]
Reduction in industrial pollutants (chemicals)			1
Improvement in water quality of receiving waterbody			1
In the past year, was the inspection and maintenance program mostly:			
Reactive (responding to problems)		\checkmark	
Proactive (focusing on preventative maintenance to avoid problems)?	\checkmark		
If the program is mostly reactive, describe below any plans to shift the emphasis to prevention	\checkmark		
The maintenance program consists of monthly inspections, sewer line cleaning/jetting and degreasing other possible interconnections.	at the C	SO pc	oints or

2. Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 750-2.8(a)(2), 750-2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Use of Collection System for Storage)	Yes	No	N/A
Are CSOs minimized, and flow to the treatment plant maximized?	\checkmark		
Has the hydraulic capacity of the system been evaluated?	\checkmark		
Is there a continuous program of flushing and cleaning to prevent deposition of solids?	\checkmark		
Have regulators and weirs been adjusted to maximize storage without causing service backups?	\checkmark		
In the past year or the upcoming year, have any changes to structures or procedures been made or planned that will improve use of the collection system for storage? Describe below	\checkmark		
Tidegates maintenance/repairs/replacement			\checkmark
FOG program		\checkmark	
Removal of small systems bottlenecks		\checkmark	
Sewer cleaning and sediment removal	\checkmark		
Removal of flow obstructions	\checkmark		
Regulator or weir adjustment - list locations below		\checkmark	
In-line storage: Inflatable dams or sluice gates		\checkmark	
Wet Weather Operating Plan	\checkmark		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have a water conservation program for homeowners?		\checkmark	
In the upcoming year are there any studies, work, or projects planned (other than routine activities) to improve use of collection system for storage? Describe below.	\checkmark		

DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

The Paterson Street sewer separation and CSO modifications align with the approved July 2012 LTCP. In addition, an 800,000 gallon CSO retention tank is currently being planned for completion by July 2021.

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

3. Industrial Pretreatment 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f) and 2.9(a)(4) (EPA NMC: Review and Modify Pretreatment Requirements)	YES	NO	N/A
Has the impact on CSOs from nondomestic users that discharge toxic pollutants been evaluated, and steps taken to minimize such impacts?	\checkmark		
Is there an approved pretreatment or mini-pretreatment program?	\checkmark		
If there is no pretreatment or min-pretreatment program, are there any nondomestic users? If No to both of the previous questions, go to BMP 4			\checkmark
Is there an inventory of industrial dischargers? Is the following information included?	\checkmark		
Volume of discharge?	\checkmark		
Pollutants in discharge?	\checkmark		
Are any pollutants classified as "persistent toxics" or bioaccumulative?		\checkmark	
Is the location included on the collection system map?	\checkmark		
Are there any industrial discharges that could reach CSO outfalls?	\checkmark		
If yes, have any industrial dischargers been identified as contributing to a water quality impairment?		\checkmark	
If yes, does the industry have a holding tank or EQ tank to store wastewater prior to discharge to the collection system?		\checkmark	
If yes, does the industry have a written plan to store or hold discharges during rain events?		\checkmark	
If yes, has the industry been asked to prepare a written plan to store or hold discharges?		\checkmark	
In the past year, have there been negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers which will potentially reduce impacts during CSO events? Describe below.		\checkmark	
In the upcoming year, are any negotiations or changes to agreements with industrial dischargers planned which will potentially reduce impacts during CSO events? Describe below.		\checkmark	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	THE EPA	NINE	
Any industries listed as an SIU of the City sewer system are monitored, inspected, and sampled on a ar	າnual ba	asis.	

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

4. Maximize Flow to POTW 6 NYCRR 750-2.7(f), 2.8(a)(2), and 2.8(a)(5) (EPA NMC: Maximum Flow to POTW for Treatment)	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were the headworks, primary treatment works and disinfection works able to pass the flows specified in the permit for all wet weather flows?			
In the past year, was the secondary treatment works able to treat the flows specified in the permit for all wet weather flows?		\checkmark	
If the answer to either of the above questions was No, has a plan and schedule to accomplish this been submitted to the Department?		\checkmark	
In the past year have there been any physical modifications to the collection system which have allowed more flow to reach the POTW? Describe below.		\checkmark	
Are any physical modifications planned for the upcoming year?		\checkmark	
Are there areas of the collection system, including pump stations that need additional study to evaluate capacity, condition, or to determine if illegal connections (i.e. inflow) exist? List below		\checkmark	
In the past year, have any new problem areas been identified that restrict flow to the plant? List locations below		\checkmark	
In the upcoming year, are there plans to address hydraulic restrictions or bottlenecks?		\checkmark	
Pipe replacement		\checkmark	
Construction of relief sewer		\checkmark	
Construction of overflow tank	\checkmark		
Pump station improvements	\checkmark		
Pump replacement	\checkmark		
Weir adjustment		\checkmark	
Smoke testing, dye testing to identify illicit connections		\checkmark	
Other:		\checkmark	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF	THE EPA	NINE	

MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

The planned improvements include an 800,000 gallon CSO retention tank in accordance with the approved LTCP. The stored CSO will be treated at the POTW once sufficient capacity is available post wet weather event.

PERMITTEE NAME: City

SPDES PERMIT NO.: NY-0029831

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

5. Wet Weather Operating Plan (WWOP) 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a) (EPA NMC: None)	YES	NO	N/A
Has a WWOP been developed, specifying procedures for unit operations, to maximize treatment during wet weather events while not diminishing effluent quality or destabilizing treatment upon return to dry weather operation?	\checkmark		
In the past year, did treatment of wet weather flows cause any effluent violations or destabilize treatment upon return to normal service?	\checkmark		
Has the WWOP been developed in accordance with the DEC guidance, "Wet Weather Operating Practices for POTWs with Combined Sewers"? If no, describe changes needed.	\checkmark		
Has the WWOP been submitted to the Regional Office and Bureau of Water Permits (Albany) for review and approval?	\checkmark		
If the collection system or plant has been modified or upgraded, has the WWOP been modified to reflect new flow rates or new procedures?		\checkmark	
If yes, has the revised plan been submitted to the Regional Office for approval?			\checkmark
Does the plan identify the maximum flows through preliminary, primary, secondary treatment, tertiary, and disinfection units?	\checkmark		
In the upcoming year, are changes to the plan expected?	\checkmark		
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF	THE EPA	NINE	

MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

A wet weather operating plan has been submitted, approved and is currently in use now. The WWOP will be updated in conjunction with the ongoing capital improvement project.

6. Prohibition of Dry Weather Overflows 6 NYCRR 750-2.7 and 2.8(b)(2) (EPA NMC: Eliminate Dry Weather Overflows)	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were there any dry weather overflows? If no, skip to BMP 7.		\checkmark	
Were all dry weather overflows reported in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 750-2.7 (incident reporting)?			
If dry weather overflows occurred, indicate which procedures or equipment have been improved or replaced			
Schedule for routine inspections			
Management, operation and maintenance program			
Modification of existing or issuance of new inter-municipal agreements			
FOG program			
Removal of illicit connections			
I/I Control program			
Leaky tidegates			
Adjustment and/or repair of regulators			
Pumps			
Auxiliary power			
Elimination of hydraulic bottlenecks			
Adequate dry weather flow capacity at the treatment plant			
Other, list below			
Has additional staff training been provided?			
Has the likelihood of future dry weather overflows been eliminated? If not, describe additional information below.			
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	THE EPA	Nine	

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

7. Control of Floatables and Settleable Solids 6 NYCRR 750-2.8(a)(4) (EPA NMC: Control of Solid and Floatable Materials in CSOs)	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were did any outfalls discharge floating solids, oil and grease, or solids of sewage origin?	\checkmark		
Have BMPs been implemented to eliminate or minimize the discharge of floatables and settleable solids?		\checkmark	
Have any of the following measures been implemented (either existing from previous years, in the past year) or will any be implemented in the upcoming year? If significant progress has been made in implementing these, or if significant improvements have occurred, describe below.	\checkmark		
Floatables quantification		\checkmark	
Booming and skimming of open waters		\checkmark	
Source controls (street cleaning, public education, household hazardous waste collection, solid waste collection, recycling, and/or composting of lawn/leaf/roadkill deer)	\checkmark		
In-line netting		\checkmark	
Screens		\checkmark	
Catch basin hoods		\checkmark	
Other:		\checkmark	
Are any changes needed or planned for the upcoming year? Describe additional information below.	\checkmark		

DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

The maximum use of collection system for storage BMP resulted in more floatable and settable solid material being conveyed to the POTW for treatment.

			.
8. Combined Sewer System Replacement 6 NYCRR 750-2.10(i) (EPA NMC: None) 🗌 N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were any combined sewers designed or constructed that were not approved by DEC?		\checkmark	
If yes, was the combined sewer replaced by separate sanitary and storm sewers to the greatest extent possible?			\checkmark
If yes, were the separate sanitary and storm sewers designed and constructed simultaneously but without interconnections to the maximum extent practicable?			\checkmark
Is the combined portion of the collection system completely identified on maps or GIS?		\checkmark	
Are there any plans or current projects to separate combined sewers into sanitary and storm sewers?		\checkmark	
Is there an approved engineering plan for this project?		\checkmark	
In the past year, how many areas of combined sewer were separated? acres			
In the upcoming year, how many areas of combined sewer are scheduled to be separated? acres			
Are the sewer replacement projects on schedule? If no, describe below.			\checkmark
Overall, has the implementation of this BMP resulted in fewer overflow events and/or less volume discharged? Describe below.	\checkmark		
The Paterson Street sewer separation project resulted in less combined sewers in the City. This project reduction of 13 acres of combined sewer area.	resulte	d in the	3

9 Combined Sewer Extension 6 NYCRR 750-2 10(i) (FPA NMC: None) N/A	VEC	NO	NI / A
	TES		
In the past year, were any combined sewers extended not using separate sewers?		\checkmark	
Were sanitary and storm sewers extensions designed and constructed simultaneously but without interconnections?		\checkmark	
Were any new sources of stormwater added to a separate sewer anywhere in the collection system?		\checkmark	
If separate sewers were extended from combined sewers, was it demonstrated that the sewerage system had the ability to convey, and the treatment plant had the ability to adequately treat, the increased dry-weather flows?			\checkmark
If determined necessary by the Regional Water Engineer, was an assessment made of the effects of the increased flow of sanitary sewage or industrial waste on the strength of CSOs and their frequency of occurrence, including the impacts upon best usage of the receiving water?			\checkmark
Has a recent combined sewer extension resulted in increased discharge from a CSO?			\checkmark
Has a recent combined sewer extension resulted in increased flow to the POTW? Describe any CSO impacts below.			\checkmark
Is any development planned upstream of a combined sewer?	\checkmark		
If yes, has a sewer extension plan been submitted for review and approval?		\checkmark	
If the approval contained a flow credit requiring removal of I/I, what was the requirement or ratio?			\checkmark
Does the plan include any flow retention structures?			\checkmark
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THE	IE EPA	Nine	

MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

There is development planned upstream of a combined sewer. This would deal with the development of the airport properties owned by the OBPA. OBPA has requested permission from, and have been granted approval from City Council to connect to the City sewer system. Tisdel Associates have done their preliminary engineering work, and because they need to cross or work within the NYS DOT right-of-way they have submitted this preliminary engineering to the DOT for their review and approval. OBPA is planning to have a 4" force main for sanitary service only. Their will be no combined system allowed for this project. OBPA will need to manage all storm water collection separate from this project on their own property. The City will have final approval on the location and type of connection, permitted flow rates, metering requirements, etc. for this development project.

PART III - CSU BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES			
10. Connection Prohibitions 6 NYCRR750-2.9(a)(5) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, were any sewer connections approved, in spite of a notice from DEC to prohibit further connections due to documented, recurrent instances of sewage backing up into house(s) or discharges of raw sewage onto the ground surface from surcharging manholes?		\checkmark	
Are new connections prohibited by the DEC? If no, skip to BMP 11.		\checkmark	
Is this due to basement backups?			
Is this due to surcharging manholes?			
In the upcoming year, is any work planned to either increase capacity or reduce hydraulic loading? Describe below.			
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)	THE EPA	NINE	

11. Septage and Hauled Waste 6 NYCRR750-2.7(f) and 2.8(a)(1) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
In the past year, has there been any discharge or release of septage or hauled waste into the collection system upstream of a CSO?		\checkmark	
Does the facility have authorization from DEC to accept hauled waste or septage at a location other than the POTW? Describe below.		\checkmark	
Are any of these locations upstream of a CSO?			\checkmark
Are there any agreements with haulers to accept waste at a location other than at the POTW?		\checkmark	
In the past year, was any hauled waste or septage accepted at a location other than at the POTW?		\checkmark	
What was the total volume received at locations other than the POTW?			\checkmark
Is there a dedicated location to discharge septage at the POTW?	\checkmark		
Are there restrictions on when the plant accepts hauled waste or septage?	\checkmark		
Have there been any changes to the POTW's policy on septage and hauled waste in the past year? Are any changes needed or planned in the upcoming year?	\checkmark		

DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

The unloading of any waste to our system can only be done at a dedicated location at our POTW Plant and is under controlled conditions with both time and flow restrictions. It is planned to include a new septage receiving station as part of a future POTW upgrade.

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

12. Control of Run-off 6 NYCRR750- 2.1(e) (EPA NMC: None) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Is sediment in runoff from construction zones entering catch basins in the combined sewer system?		\checkmark	
Is there adequate communication between the local municipal department that enforces local stormwater codes and ordinances and the collection system staff regarding stormwater runoff?	\checkmark		
Do the municipalities within the combined sewer system have adequate storm water pollution prevention programs to reduce pollutants in stormwater?	\checkmark		
Annual household hazardous waste collection	\checkmark		
Autumn leaf collection	\checkmark		
Lawn clippings	\checkmark		
Christmas tree pickup	\checkmark		
Roadkill deer composting	\checkmark		
Fertilizer and pesticide management		\checkmark	
Enforcement of litter laws	\checkmark		
Public education programs on composting		\checkmark	
Are any changes needed in the implementation of this BMP to reduce the number of CSO events, the volume discharged, or pollutants in the discharge? If yes, describe below.		\checkmark	
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF	THE EPA	NINE	

MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

The above controls checked appear to be adequate for runoff control.

13. Public Notification 6 NYCRR 750-1.12 (EPA NMC: Public Notification) N/A	YES	NO	N/A
Have identification signs been installed and maintained at all CSO outfalls owned and operated by the permittee?			
Are all signs placed at or near the outfall?			
Are the signs easily readable by the public?	\checkmark		
Are the signs a minimum size of 18" by 24"?	\checkmark		
Do the signs have white letters on a green background?	\checkmark		
Do all the signs contain the following information:	\checkmark		
SPDES permit number	\checkmark		
Outfall number	\checkmark		
Permittee name, contact name and phone number at business office or NYSDEC Division of Water regional contact address and phone number	\checkmark		
For waters that are Class B or higher, is a public notification program implemented to inform citizens of the location and occurrence of CSO events?	\checkmark		
Does this program include a mechanism (public media broadcast, standing beach advisories, newspaper notice, etc) to alert potential users of the receiving waters affected by CSOs?	\checkmark		
Does this program include a system to determine the nature and duration of conditions that are potentially harmful to users of these receiving waters due to CSOs?	\checkmark		
Were there any problems in the past year with missing or damaged signs? Describe below.		\checkmark	
Is there a written public notification plan?		\checkmark	
Does the plan list all methods used to notify the public of CSO events?			\checkmark
Does the plan list outfalls where signs are posted?			\checkmark

DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

In 2018, CSO events were reported through the NY ALERT reporting system to initiate an CSO Public Notification.

PART III - CSO Best MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

14. Characterization and Monitoring (6 NYCRR 750-1.11(a), 2.5(a) and 2.7(g)) (EPA NMC: Monitoring)		NO	N/A	
If required in the permit, has the combined sewer system been characterized to determine the frequency of overflows, and identify CSO impacts?				
Was a baseline sampling program established as part of the LTCP development?				
Are all outfalls monitored during discharge events for:	\checkmark			
Flow Volume:		\checkmark		
Frequency:	\checkmark			
Duration:		\checkmark		
If all outfalls are not monitored, explain how sufficient data is obtained to document the success of the BMPs.			\checkmark	
List locations of rain gauges or the source of data, below.			\checkmark	
Has a Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan been submitted to the Department for review and approval?		\checkmark		
Has the Department approved the Post Construction Modeling and Monitoring plan?			\checkmark	
Has post construction monitoring and modeling of the receiving water begun? Attach results if this has not already been provided.		\checkmark		
DESCRIBE BELOW HOW THIS BMP IMPLEMENTATION HAS MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT, AND THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)				
Refer to July 2012 LTCP baseline sampling and monitoring that was completed.				

City of Ogdensburg

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

15. Annual report 6 NYCRR 750-2.1(i) N/A (EPA NMC: None; Required in LTCP permit)	YES	NO	N/A
Is this report being used to satisfy BMP 15, Annual report, and the BMP checklist?			
Is existing documentation of implementation of the BMPs included?			
Is this annual report submitted by January 31 to the Regional Office and the Bureau of Water Permits (Albany)?			
Attach any additional information necessary to document the implementation of BMPs in the past year or list plans for the upcoming year.			\checkmark
Overall, was implementation of the BMPs effective in controlling and minimizing CSO discharges?	\checkmark		
If no, list any improvements needed that have not been described elsewhere			\checkmark

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

DESCRIBE BELOW IN DETAIL OTHER "MEASURE OF SUCCESS" ABOVE AND BEYOND THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE SPDES PERMIT. DESCRIBE HOW ADDITIONAL PROJECT(S) HAS HELPED TO MEET THE OBJECTIVES OF THE EPA NINE MINIMUM CONTROLS POLICY. (Attach extra sheet if necessary)

The City is presently undertaking a major capital improvement project at the POTW that will include the installation of an 800,000 gallon equalization tank. This project is in the design engineering phase and planned to go to bid in spring of 2019 with construction beginning in summer of 2019.

SECTION D: For Multiple Permittees Only

Permittee Name	SPDES Permit Name	SPDES Permit No

City of Ogdensburg

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

SECTION E: GLOSSARY/ACCRONYMS

For the purposes of this annual report, the following terms and acronyms are described below:

Baseline: Conditions before the development and/or implementation of CSO BMPs and/or LTCP.

Best Management Practice (BMP): Permit condition used in place of or in conjunction with effluent limitations to prevent or control the discharge of pollutants. May include schedule of activities, prohibition of practices, maintenance procedure, or other management practice. BMPs may include, but are not limited to, treatment requirements, operating procedures, or practices to control plant site runoff, spillage, leaks, sludge or waste disposal, or drainage from raw material storage.

Bypass: A discharge of wastewater, stormwater, or combination of both, around a treatment unit designed for the removal of pollutants.

Catch Basin: A chamber usually built at the curbline of a street, which admits surface water for discharge into a storm drain

Collection System: A wastewater collection system which conveys sanitary wastewaters (domestic, commercial and industrial wastewaters) and stormwater through a single pipe to a publicly owned treatment works for treatment prior to discharge to surface waters.

Combined Sewer: A sewer designed to carry wastewater and stormwater runoff.

Combined Sewer Overflows (CSO): A discharge of untreated wastewater from a combined sewer system at a point prior to the headworks of a publicly owned treatment works. CSOs generally occur during wet weather (rainfall or snowmelt). During periods of wet weather, these systems become overloaded, bypass treatment works, and discharge directly to receiving waters.

Combined Sewer System (CSS): A wastewater collection system that conveys sanitary wastewaters and storm water through a single pipe to a publicly owned treatment works for treatment prior to discharge to surface waters.

Demonstrative Regulatory Approach: Control approach where a permittee develops and implement an LTCP that meets the state water quality standards. A permittee could develop an LTCP that would provide for attainment of water quality standards, or it could use a total maximum daily load (TMDL) to *demonstrate* that water quality standards can be attained through a combination of CSO controls and other controls.

EPA: Environmental Protection Agency

EQ Tank: Equalization Tank often used to smooth hydraulic peaks to a POTW or WWTP.

Fats Oil & Grease (FOG)

Geographic Information System (GIS) is a computer-based tool for mapping and analyzing features in the environment. GIS support a wide range of activities including water quality modeling, watershed planning, and wetlands permitting and mitigation.

GI: Green" Infrastructure

Infiltration/Inflow (I/I): Rainwater, snowmelt, or groundwater flowing into separate sanitary or combined sewers, typically introduced via connected roof downspouts and/or building footing drains or infiltrating into the pipe through cracks in the pipe walls or joints.

This Period: Period covering the last 12 months from January to December

Last Period: Activities covering the 12 calendar months prior to the end of the current period

Permittee Name:

City of Ogdensburg

PART III - CSO BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Long Term Control Plan (LTCP): An engineering document that characterizes and assesses CSO discharge to a receiving waterbody. The goal of the Plan is to comply with the water quality standards of the receiving waterbody.

Million Gallons per Day (MGD) is a unit of flow commonly used for wastewater discharges. One mgd is equivalent to 1.547 cubic feet per second.

Multiple Permittees here is described as when a group of permittees (e.g. Albany Pool) is responsible to develop a single LTCP or when a single LTCP is required for multiple SPDES permit under a single permittee (e.g. NYC).

Nine Minimum Controls (NMC) provide information on nine minimum technology-based controls that permittees are expected to use to address CSO problems, without extensive engineering studies or significant construction costs, before long-term measures are taken.

NYSDEC: New State Department of Environmental Conservation (interchangeably uses as DEC)

Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW): Also commonly referred to as "treatment facility, WWTP (Wastewater Treatment Plant)

SPDES Permit: State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit. A permit issued by DEC, authorized under the federal Clean Water Act, to discharge treated wastewater to waters of the United States.

Overflow Events: An event starts once an overflow starts from an outfall, and ends once the overflow stops and the pumpback to treatment facility have ended.

Presumptive Approach: The presumption approach is based on the assumption that an LTCP that meets certain minimum defined performance criteria. The "presumption approach," under which achievement of certain performance criteria (i.e., 4-6 untreated overflow events or 85 percent by volume capture) would be presumed to provide an adequate level of control to attain water quality standards

Raw Sewage: Untreated sanitary sewage.

Sanitary Sewer Overflow (SSO) is an untreated or partially treated sewage discharge from the sanitary sewer collection system.

Separate Sewer (SS): A pipe or conduit intended to convey only sanitary sewage to a wastewater treatment facility.

SPDES: State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

Sewer System: A public or privately owned wastewater collection facility designed and used to convey or treat sanitary sewage or sanitary sewage and storm water. Sewer system does not include an on-site wastewater treatment system serving one residential unit or duplex.

Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) is a complex computer system that provides automatic control of stormwater storage and overflows at various locations within the sewer system.

Volume Discharged: Total discharge volume for the event (in millions of gallons) from each CSO outfall within this reporting period.

Volume Captured: Total discharge volume for the event (in millions of gallons) that were either captured via an offline treatment facility before discharge or diverted to the WWTP for treatment.

WWOP: Wet Weather Operating Plan

Water Quality Standards (WQS) are regulations that establish the uses for which surface waters of the state are protected and include numeric and narrative criteria to protect those uses.